

REGULATION CHANGES FOR CONSULTATION

Committee:	Judicial Committee
Date of Meeting:	16 July 2025
Closing date for consultation:	17 October 2025
Email for comments:	judicialconsultation@msauk.org

Proposed Regulation

CHAPTER 2 JUDICIAL

Appendix 10

1.5 Where the Application is submitted at the Event then the relevant Judicial body:

a. may determine the matter at the Event or subsequently in accordance with the remainder of this Appendix 10.

b. must copy the Application and Fee Undertaking to legal@motorsportuk.org and where one is appointed then to the ASN Steward to be included in the Steward's Event Report.

1.6 The Application for Review must, subject to Art.1.17 below, be submitted with a Fee Undertaking no later than 7 calendar days next following the date of the Decision.

1.7 The Review process must be concluded in accordance with Art.1.15 and Art.1.16 and the Decision must be published as an Event Official Document and must be copied to legal@motorsportuk.org.

1.8 the Review Body must ~~hold a Hearing and~~ meet (in person or by other means) on a date determined in its discretion subject to **Art.1.7 above to determine, on the written submission(s) provided, whether the element presented was all of:**

- a. Significant;
- b. Relevant;
- c. New; and
- d. Unavailable to the parties seeking the review at the time of the Decision.

1.8.1 The party or parties requesting the review have the right to request a Judicial Hearing, and, in such case, the Review Body must ~~and summoning~~ the party or parties concerned to hear any relevant explanations and in the Review Body's judicial discretion to then consider any evidence

not previously available and so to judge on the matter in the light of the facts and elements brought before them.

~~1.9 The party or parties concerned may in writing waive their right to a hearing but shall be permitted to make written representations.~~

~~1.910~~ A party is not entitled to be represented at any hearing other than those conducted by the **National Court** or the **International Court of Appeal**. A **Driver Competitor** may be accompanied by a representative of their **Entrant**, where that **Entrant** is a person.

~~1.1011~~ Pending determination by the **Review Body** a review has no suspensive effect on the execution of the original decision of the **Clerk of the Course** or the **Stewards** when they have given a ruling.

~~1.1112~~ The **Review Body** shall have the sole discretion to determine if a significant and relevant new element exists and, if so, to determine if the original decision including any penalty shall stand or be set aside or varied. This **Decision** must be recorded and forms part of the **Official Documents** of the **Event**.

~~1.1213~~ The decision of the **Review Body** as to whether or not such an element exists is not subject to appeal before any further judicial body.

~~1.1314~~ If the **Review Body** determines that a significant and relevant new element does exist and therefore progresses to a re-examination of their original decision, **the Review Body must hold a Hearing and summons the party or parties concerned irrespective of whether they have exercised the right to a Hearing under Art.1.8.1.** The subsequent decision of the **Review Body** as to whether the original decision shall stand or be set aside or varied may be appealed.

~~1.134.1~~ In the event that the original **Decision** is set aside or varied such that it affects the results of the **Competition** concerned those results shall be amended accordingly even if they have previously been declared to be final.

Date of Implementation: 01 January 2026

Reason: The first stage of Right of Reviews (whether a significant and relevant new element exists that was unavailable to the parties seeking the review) can and should be dealt with in writing following the application for a Right of Review. Applicants can request a Hearing to determine the first stage if they so wish; however, it is proposed that the automatic position is to conduct this consideration in writing. This is also to reduce the additional burden on Officials holding the first stage of Right of Reviews via video call following conclusion of the Event.